



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Σ C

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/382,275	08/25/1999	ROBERT A. VAN TASSEL	MEDIV1120-1	5006

7590

07/22/2003

Oppenheimer Wolff & Donnelly LLP
840 Newport Center Drive, Suite 700
Newport Beach, CA 92660

EXAMINER

PHAN, HIEU

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3738

19

DATE MAILED: 07/22/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/382,275

Applicant(s)

VAN TASSEL ET AL.

Examiner

Hieu Phan

Art Unit

3738

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-81 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-29, 31-42, 46-57, 65-72 and 76-81 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 2, 30, 43-45, 58-64 and 73-75 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) ____ 6) ☐ Other: ____

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1, 2, 30, 43-45, 58-64 and 73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yan (U.S. Patent 5,843,172).

Yan discloses a porous medicated stent (30) having plurality of interconnected microholes distributed throughout said stent body along substantially the length of said stent body; the microholes is adapted to promote an organized growth pattern of infiltrating cells, such as endothelial cells as is claimed (Abstract, figure 4, column 1 lines 12-20 and 62-67, column 2 lines 1-46, column 4 lines 12-31, column 5 lines 1-28 and column 6 lines 44-60).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 74 and 75 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yan (U.S. Patent 5,843,172) in view of Behl (U.S. Patent 5,078,736).

Yan is explained as before. Yan further lacking means for administering a stimulus to the cells growing on the stent.

Behl discloses a stent (10) with the method of implanting into the tubular organ of the subject an implantable stent body and providing a stimulus to the stent body (column 2 lines 38-63, column 3 lines 24-67, column 4 lines 3-6, column 5 lines 1-16 and lines 30-49 and figures 1-3). The advantage of having an external stimuli is to prevent stenosis of the patent's lumen by disrupting tissue growth.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teaching of Behl to modify the apparatus of Yan to have a means for administering a stimulus to the cells growing on the stent. The motivation for incorporating the feature of Behl into the apparatus of Yan is to prevent stenosis of the patent's lumen by disrupting tissue growth.

Respons to Arguments

5. Appicant's arguments filed 03/12/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In the interview on 09/24/2002, examiner had agreed with applicant that the proposed amendment would over come Yan (U.S. Pantent 5,843,172) and Behl (U.S. Patent 5,078,736). Upon further examination of the Yan (please note column 2, lines 39-46, column 6, lines 23-43 and figure 4), examiner conclude that Yan still read on the claims 1, 2, 30, 43-45, 58-64 and 73. Yan discloses a stent is formed from a sheet or tube of sinster metal filaments, which would read on claim limiatation,"a tubular stent body having a plurality of interconnected microholes distributed throughout said stent body along substantially the length of said stent body." Therefore, the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yan (U.S. Patent 5,843,172) and under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yan (U.S. Patent 5,843,172) in view of Behl (U.S. Patent 5,078,736) are proper.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hieu Phan whose telephone number is 703-308-8969. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine M McDermott can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-

Art Unit: 3738

305-3590 for regular communications and 703-305-3590 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0873.

Hieu Phan
Examiner
Art Unit 3738



CORRINE McDERMOTT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700